

LSRUR Advisor Form 1 – Season 2011-2012

Name of Referee: Andrew Forsythe **Grade:** UG **Date:** 17/01/2015
Match Level: 11 **Match Result:** Aylestone Ath (19pts) -v Vipers III (57pts)

Challenge of the Match: Both sides had extra players so the composition of the teams changed with rolling subs, throughout the game. Vipers were dominant in the first half, with some strong running and a weak defence from Athletic, they ran in 8 unopposed tries. During the second half, with some changes, Athletic scored 3 tries against their opponents 1, in an entertaining game, played in good humour with the occasional flashes of skill.

Please assess the following Units of Competence by using **G** for well done, **A** for acceptable or **D** denoting a development opportunity and adding solutions. **SD** denotes a serious problem. It is appropriate to provide praise when something has been done very well.

<p>Scrummage: Andrew worked hard to ensure the engagement was safe, re-setting the engagement sequence on at least 4 occasions. In the first half Athletic scrumhalf kicked the ball back into the scrum un-noticed 1/23, when repeated 2/12 he was identified and penalised. The back-row binding was loose throughout the game, this needed to be controlled by warning, or penalties if that didn't work. If not, it reduces the space to re-cycle the ball.</p>	A
<p>Lineout: The gaps between the participants was often non-existent, fortunately there was little cross the line interference, in another game it could be a problem. Andrew needs to be aware that a quick throw in might occur, Athletic tried one 2/3, but it fizzled out, most of the players missed it as did Andrew. There was some encroachment by the Athletic three's in the second half that wasn't identified.</p>	A
<p>Tackle & Post Tackle: Andrew arrived at the tackle area in good time to identify players holding on, or blocking the ball, well done.</p>	G
<p>Ruck: Andrew moved around the ruck well, and so was able to identify offences. He needs to ensure the offside line is maintained by non-participating players, at times they were too quickly on the player picking the ball out, resulting in slow ball or another ruck.</p>	A
<p>Maul: Handled the mauls well.</p>	G
<p>Advantage: Played advantage well, allowing the players to take their chances and develop the game. When the advantage was not gained Andrew correctly returned to the original incident.</p>	G
<p>Open Play: Forward passes, and knock-ons were regularly identified. Andrew moved around the pitch well and was never far from the action. He missed offside players in front of the kicker encroaching the defending player collecting the ball, on two occasions.</p>	D
<p>Communication: Andrew's whistle and verbal communication with the captains and players was confident and good. He needs to work on his secondary signals, which often were non-existent. This will make it clearer to the players and spectator as to why he blew the whistle.</p>	D
<p>Management: This was an enthusiastic performance by Andrew, which was appreciated by the players and their supporters, who accepted his decisions, and had an enjoyable game. There was no sign of any indiscipline, the game was played in the right spirit throughout. Andrew, as many new referee's do, was often concentrating too much on the vicinity of the ball, he needs to be more aware of offside lines. He clearly enjoyed his refereeing experience, showing the ability and experience of being an ex-front row player, and had real empathy with the players.</p>	A

<p>Name of Advisor: Don Hope</p> <p>Date: 19/01/2015</p>	<p>Referee's Potential: (please indicate) Should progress to become a valuable society referee.</p>
<p>Signature: Don Hope</p>	<p>Was the referee fit to cope with this match? Yes.</p>

Please return this completed form to: Geoff Blackburn, Rose Cottage, Goatham Lane, Osbaston, Nuneaton, Warwickshire, CV13 0DR